arXiv:1606.03880v1 [math.KT] 13 Jun 2016

NONCOMMUTATIVE KUMMER THEORY

LEONID POSITSELSKI

Abstract. We discuss lifting properties of continuous homomorphisms from absolute Galois groups into (pro)finite groups. An analogy with the Langlands program is pointed out in the beginning of the note.

The Langlands program is a noncommutative generalization of class field theory. Class field theory provides a description of abelian extensions of number fields.

Another description of abelian extensions of fields containing enough roots of unity is provided by the (much more elementary) Kummer theory. The two descriptions are related by the Tate duality in cohomology. Unlike the class field theory, the Kummer theory applies in a uniform manner to local and global fields, and also to fields of arbitrary transcendence degree.

Absolute Galois groups of arbitrary fields are very special objects among profinite groups. Some of their special properties are easy to prove; some are very difficult theorems, some others are conjectures, and probably there are still more such properties yet to be discovered. The properties that are already known, either definitely or conjecturally, do not fit into a single coherent picture. It appears that whatever angle one chooses to look on the absolute Galois groups, one is likely to find that, looking from this angle, they are very special in a separate way, seemingly unrelated to their other special properties (see, e. g., [1, 2, 3, 4]).

In particular, the cohomology of absolute Galois groups with cyclotomic coefficients are described by the Milnor–Bloch–Kato conjecture, proven by Rost, Voevodsky, *et al.* [6]. In the case of H^1, this reduces to the Kummer theory. What can one say about the first cohomology of absolute Galois groups with noncommutative (constant or close-to-constant) coefficients?

Attempts to answer this question bear some vague resemblance to the Langlands story in that representations of a finite Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ in the linear groups like $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ or $\mathrm{PGL}(2, K)$ get involved (if only because of the importance of Hilbert theorem 90 for GL).

One of the formulations of the Kummer theory for fields with roots of unity is the lifting property for homomorphisms from absolute Galois groups $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ into cyclic groups. More precisely, for any prime number l and any field K containing $\sqrt[l^\infty]{1}$, any continuous group homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^n$ can be lifted to a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon G_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_l$. The explanation is that the homomorphism f corresponds to a field extension $K[\sqrt[l^n]{b}]/K$ for some element $b \in K$, and the extension

$K[\sqrt[l^\infty]{b}]/K$ then provides the desired lifting. Hence one way to approach noncommutative Kummer theory would be to look into lifting properties of homomorphisms from absolute Galois groups into noncommutative (pro)finite groups.

Here is one example of a noncommutative lifting property of the absolute Galois groups of arbitrary fields over a given field.

Theorem 1. *Let K be a field containing $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then any profinite group homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow S_n$ can be lifted to a profinite group homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{Br}}_n$ (where S_n denotes the symmetric group and $\widehat{\mathrm{Br}}_n$ is the profinite completion of the braid group Br_n mapping onto S_n).*

More generally, let G be a finite group and k be a fixed field. Let us say that $c\colon \widetilde{G} \longrightarrow G$ is a *weakly universal absolute Galois covering of G over k* if $\widetilde{G}$ is the absolute Galois group of a field over k, c is a profinite group homomorphism, and for any field K over k, any profinite group homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon G_K \longrightarrow \widetilde{G}$ so that $f = c\tilde{f}$.

Theorem 2. *Let k be a field and V a faithful linear representation of a finite group G in a finite-dimensional k-vector space. Denote by $k(V)$ the field of rational functions on V and by $E = k(V)^G$ its subfield of G-invariant elements. Then the natural homomorphism $G_E = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k(V)}/k(V)^G) \longrightarrow G = \mathrm{Gal}(k(V)/k(V)^G)$ is a weakly universal absolute Galois covering of G over k.*

In other words, any continuous group homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ with a field K containing k lifts to a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon G_K \longrightarrow G_E$.

Here is a more concrete-looking result (cf. [5]).

Theorem 3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$, and G' a finite subgroup in $\mathrm{GL}(2,k)$ mapped onto G by the natural projection. Let $\mathrm{Fr} \longrightarrow G$ be a homomorphism onto G from a free profinite group Fr. Then for any field K over k, any profinite group homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow G$ that factors through the epimorphism $G' \longrightarrow G$ also factors through the epimorphism $\mathrm{Fr} \longrightarrow G$.*

For example, let D_n denote the dihedral group of order $2n$. Then a continuous homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow D_n$ lifts to a free profinite group covering D_n whenever it lifts to D_{2n}. The latter condition is necessary here: for any even n, there exists a field K over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow D_n$ that cannot be lifted to D_{2n}. The situation is similar for the groups $G = A_4$, S_4, and A_5.

E. g., a homomorphism $G_K \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ lifts to the free profinite group with two generators Fr_2 if and only if the cup-product of the two related classes in $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ vanishes in $H^2(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ denote the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-variety of all monic polynomials $q(y) = y^n + q_{n-1}y^{n-1} + \cdots + q_0$ without multiple roots and $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ the complement to the union of the hyperplanes $\{y_i = y_j\}$, $1 \le i < j \le n$. Let $\sigma\colon Y \longrightarrow X$ be the natural Galois covering with the Galois group S_n. Notice that profinite group

homomorphisms $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow S_n$ come from polynomials of degree n over K having no multiple roots. In other words, any such homomorphism f, viewed up to a conjugation in S_n, corresponds to a pullback of the covering σ via some K-point $q\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \longrightarrow X$ on X. The choice of a point q thus provides a lifting of f to a homomorphism of étale fundamental groups $\tilde{f}\colon G_K \longrightarrow \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X) \simeq \widehat{\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))} \simeq \widehat{\mathrm{Br}}_n$, defined up to a conjugation in $\widehat{\mathrm{Br}}_n$. $\square$

Quite generally, connected groupoids and isomorphism classes of functors between them form a category equivalent to the category GrOut of groups and "outer homomorphisms" (homomorphisms up to a conjugation in the target). Similarly, one can consider groupoids in which the automorphism groups of objects are profinite rather than discrete groups (while objects themselves still form a discrete set). The étale fundamental group is a functor from the category of connected schemes to the category of profinite groups and outer homomorphisms

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}\colon \mathsf{Sch}_{\mathsf{cn}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{GrOut}_{\mathsf{pf}}$$

which extends naturally to the "étale fundamental groupoid" functor $\mathsf{Sch} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Grd}_{\mathsf{pf}}$ from the category of schemes to the category of profinite groupoids.

Given two groupoids Γ and Δ, the category $\mathsf{Fun}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ of functors from Γ to Δ is again a groupoid, whose set of connected components is the set of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Grd}}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ in the category Grd. Similarly, when Γ is a profinite groupoid and Δ is a groupoid with finite automorphism groups of objects, the category of continuous functors $\mathsf{Fun}_{\mathsf{ct}}(\Gamma, \Delta)$ is a groupoid with finite automorphism groups, whose set of connected components is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Grd}_{\mathsf{pf}}}(\Gamma, \Delta)$. Given a connected scheme X and a finite group G, the groupoid $\mathsf{Fun}_{\mathsf{ct}}(\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X), G)$ is equivalent to the category of schemes Y endowed with a free action of G and an isomorphism $Y/G \simeq X$.

Now let Y be a scheme over a field k endowed with a free action of a finite group G, and let $X = Y/G$ be the quotient. Then there is a groupoid in the category of schemes over k with the scheme of objects X and the scheme of morphisms $(Y \times_k Y)/G$. The source and target morphisms $(Y \times_k Y)/G \rightrightarrows X$ are the projections onto the first and second factor, the unit morphism $X \longrightarrow (Y \times_k Y)/G$ comes from the diagonal $Y \longrightarrow Y \times_k Y$, the morphism of the inverse element switches the factors, and the composition $(Y \times_k Y)/G \times_X (Y \times_k Y)/G \simeq (Y \times_k Y \times_k Y)/G \longrightarrow (Y \times_k Y)/G$ drops the middle factor. Let us denote this groupoid in Sch/k by $\Gamma_G(Y) = (X,\, (Y \times_k Y)/G)$.

Given a field K over k, the functor of K-points $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Sch}/k}(\operatorname{Spec} K, -)$ transforms the groupoid $\Gamma_G(Y)$ into a groupoid $\Gamma_G(Y)(K)$ in the category of (discrete) sets with the set of objects $X(K)$ and the set of morphisms $((Y \times_k Y)/G)(K)$. The rule assigning to a K-point $q\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \longrightarrow X$ the scheme $M(q) = \operatorname{Spec} K \times_X Y$ with the induced free action of G and the natural identification $M(q)/G \simeq \operatorname{Spec} K$ extends naturally to a *fully faithful* functor of groupoids

$$M = M_{G,Y}(K)\colon \Gamma_G(Y)(K) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Fun}_{\mathsf{ct}}(G_K, G).$$

Indeed, given two K-points $q_1, q_2\colon \operatorname{Spec} K \rightrightarrows X$, (iso)morphisms of schemes $M(q_1) \longrightarrow M(q_2)$ over $\operatorname{Spec} K$ correspond bijectively to K-points of the scheme

$\operatorname{Spec} K \times_{X\times X} (Y \times_k Y)/G \simeq (M(q_1) \times_{\operatorname{Spec} K} M(q_2))/G$ (because given two principal G-sets M_1 and M_2, there is a natural bijection between G-isomorphisms $M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ and elements of the set $(M_1 \times M_2)/G$). Thus the groupoid $\Gamma_G(Y)$ in Sch/k can be thought of as the *classifying groupoid* for those outer homomorphisms $G_K \longrightarrow G$ that come from K-points on X.

Given a k-variety Y with a free action of finite group G, when does an outer homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ come from a K-point on $X = Y/G$? The following criterion can be found in [5]. The action of G in $Y_K = \operatorname{Spec} K \times_{\operatorname{Spec} k} Y$ provides an embedding of G into the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(Y_K) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(Y_{\overline{K}})$, hence the homomorphism f defines a cocycle representing a Galois cohomology class in $H^1(G_K, \operatorname{Aut}(Y_{\overline{K}}))$. Twisting the K-structure on Y_K by this cocycle, one obtains a K-variety Y_f together with an isomorphism of $\overline{K}$-varieties $Y_{\overline{K}} \simeq (Y_f)_{\overline{K}}$. So there is a natural bijection between the sets of $\overline{K}$-points $Y(\overline{K}) \simeq Y_f(\overline{K})$. The homomorphism f comes from a point $x \in X(K)$ if and only if there is a K-point $y_f \in Y_f(K)$ such that the corresponding point $y \in Y(\overline{K})$ lies over x. Thus the groupoid $\Gamma_G(Y)$ classifies those homomorphisms $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ for which the variety Y_f has a K-point.

Now let A be a k-algebraic group acting in Y and $G \subset A(k)$ be a finite subgroup whose action in Y is free. Using the embedding $G \longrightarrow A(k) \subset A(K) \subset A(\overline{K})$, a homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ defines a Galois cohomology class $\xi_f \in H^1(G_K, A(\overline{K}))$. Suppose that $\xi_f = 1$; then the cocycle corresponding to f is the coboundary of an element $a_f \in A(\overline{K})$. Assume further that there is a K-point $y_0 \in Y(K)$. Then the point $a_f(y_0) \in Y(\overline{K})$ corresponds to a K-point on Y_f. Hence the outer homomorphism f comes from a K-point on X in this case.

Taking $Y = A$ (with the action of A in itself by right multiplications), one discovers that this sufficient condition is also necessary in this situation, so the groupoid $\Gamma_G(A)$ classifies those homomorphisms $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ for which $\xi_f = 1$. Similarly, if Y is the principal homogeneous A-space corresponding to a Galois cohomology class $\xi_0 \in H^1(G_k, A(\overline{k}))$, then the groupoid $\Gamma_G(Y)$ classifies the homomorphisms f for which ξ_f is equal to the image of ξ_0 in $H^1(G_K, A(\overline{K}))$.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 2. This result is closely related to the "GAL-realization" technique from inverse Galois theory [7]. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ be a basis in V and let $L = K(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ be the field of rational functions in n transcendental variables over K. Then the natural surjective homomorphism $G_L \longrightarrow G_K$ splits into a semidirect product (it suffices to pick, e. g., a full flag of smooth absolutely irreducible subvarieties in $\mathbb{A}^n_K$ in order to obtain a splitting). Hence it suffices to show that the composition $f_L\colon G_L \longrightarrow G_K \longrightarrow G$ can be lifted to a homomorphism $G_L \longrightarrow G_E$.

Since $H^1(G_K, \mathrm{GL}(n, \overline{K})) = 1$, the cocycle obtained by composing the homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G$ with the embedding $G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(K \otimes_k V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\overline{K} \otimes_k V)$ is the coboundary of a certain invertible matrix $a_f \in \mathrm{GL}(\overline{K} \otimes_k V)$. Consider the scheme $Y = \operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k k(V)$ over L; it is the intersection of open complements in the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n_L$ to the zero sets of all polynomial equations with coefficients in k. The vector $y_0 = z_1 v_1 + \cdots + z_n v_n \in L \otimes_k V$ defines an L-point in

Y, and the vector $a_f(y_0) \in \overline{L} \otimes_k V$ is an $\overline{L}$-point in Y. Taking the image of $a_f(y_0)$ under the projection map $Y \longrightarrow X = Y/G$ (where G acts in Y via $k(V)$), we obtain an L-point $q\colon \operatorname{Spec} L \longrightarrow X$ in X such that the homomorphism f_L corresponds to the pulback of the covering $Y \longrightarrow X$ along the morphism q.

It remains to notice that the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k \overline{k(V)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k k(V)^G = X$ is an infinite Galois covering with the Galois group isomorphic to G_E. Pulling it back along the point q provides the desired lifting $\tilde{f}_L\colon G_L \longrightarrow G_E$. □

Sketch of proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that a profinite group homomorphism $f\colon G_K \longrightarrow G \subset \mathrm{PGL}(2,k)$ can be lifted to $G' \subset \mathrm{GL}(2,k)$. Then the cocycle obtained by composing f with the embeddings $\mathrm{PGL}(2,k) \subset \mathrm{PGL}(2,K) \subset \mathrm{PGL}(2,\overline{K})$ lifts to a cocycle with coefficients in $\mathrm{GL}(2,\overline{K})$. Hence this cocycle is the coboundary of some group element $a_f \in \mathrm{PGL}(2,\overline{K})$.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2, consider the field of rational functions in a transcendental variable $L = K(z)$. We have to show that the composition $f_L\colon G_L \longrightarrow G_K \longrightarrow G$ can be lifted to a profinite group homomorphism $\tilde{f}_L\colon G_L \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fr}$.

Let the group $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ act in the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the scheme $Y = \operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ over L; it is the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_L$ with all the points defined over k thrown out. Let $y_0 \in Y(L)$ be a point with the coordinate transcendental over K; then $a_f(y_0)$ in an $\overline{L}$-point in Y. The finite group G acts freely in Y; and the image of $a_f(y_0)$ under the projection map $Y \longrightarrow X = Y/G$ is an L-point $q\colon \operatorname{Spec} L \longrightarrow X$ to which the homomorphism f_L corresponds.

The natural morphism $\operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k \overline{k(\mathbb{P}^1)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} L \otimes_k k(\mathbb{P}^1)^G$ is an infinite Galois covering with the Galois group isomorphic to $G_E = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k(\mathbb{P}^1)}/k(\mathbb{P}^1)^G)$. Pulling back this covering along the point q provides a lifting of the homomorphism f into the group G_E. Now by Tsen's theorem the field $E = k(\mathbb{P}^1)^G$ has cohomological dimension 1, so any epimorphism onto G_E from a profinite group splits. □

It remains to explain why (and when) the condition of liftability to $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ is non-trivial in Theorem 3. The following lemma is due to Bogomolov.

Lemma. *For any finite group G and an algebraically closed field k with* $\operatorname{char} k \neq l$, *there exists a field E over k and a profinite group homomorphism $G_E \longrightarrow G$ such that the induced map $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \longrightarrow H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is injective.*

Proof. Let F/E be a field extension with the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(F/E) = G$, so $G_E/G_F \simeq G$. Then from the inflation-restriction sequence one concludes that, for any abelian group A endowed with the trivial action of G_E, the map $H^2(G,A) \longrightarrow H^2(G_E,A)$ is injective if and only if the map $H^1(G_E,A) \longrightarrow H^1(G_F,A)^G$ is surjective. Taking $A = \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ and identifying this group with the group of l^∞-roots of unity $\mu_{l^\infty} \subset k = \overline{k} \subset E$, we have $H^1(G_E, \mu_{l^\infty}) = E^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ and $H^1(G_F, \mu_{l^\infty}) = F^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$. So it suffices to find a field extension F/E over k with $\mathrm{Gal}(F/E) = G$ such that the map $E^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow (F^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^G$ is surjective. From the short exact sequence $F^*/k^* \longrightarrow F^*/k^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow F^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and the observation that the

group k^* is divisible (so $(F^*/k^*)^G = E^*/k^*$), we further conclude that it is enough to produce a field extension F/E for which $H^1(G, F^*/k^*) = 0$.

Now let V be a finite-dimensional k-vector space with a faithful action of G. Set $F = k(V)$ and $E = k(V)^G$. Then F^*/k^* is a free abelian group with a natural $\mathbb{Z}$-basis formed by the irreducible polynomials in $\dim V$ variables over k. This basis is permuted by the action of G, so $H^1(H, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any finite group H acting trivially in $\mathbb{Z}$ implies $H^1(G, F^*/k^*) = 0$. □

For any field E containing $k = \overline{k}$, the maps $H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Z}/l^n) \longrightarrow H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ induced by the embeddings $\mathbb{Z}/l^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ are injective. Hence it follows from the lemma that a cohomology class in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ dies in $H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ for all fields E over k and all homomorphisms $G_E \longrightarrow G$ if and only if it dies in $H^2(G, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$, i. e., belongs to the image of some Bockstein map $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^m) \longrightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Thus, given any central extension of finite groups $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^n \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$ which is not induced from a central extension of cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/l^{n+m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/l^m$, there exists a homomorphism $G_E \longrightarrow G$ that cannot be lifted to G'.

Questions: (1) The Kummer theory can be formulated for fields without roots of unity using the Galois cohomology with cyclotomic coefficients. Of course, the Kummer theory for fields without roots of unity could be also formulated as a lifting property in the spirit of Theorem 2 (as in the examples of universally solvable embedding problems in [1]), but the formulation in terms of the cyclotomic cohomology is in some sense clearly preferable.

Is there a similar cohomological formulation of the above results (such as Theorems 1 and 3), extending them to fields without algebraically closed subfields using noncommutative Galois cohomology with (perhaps close-to-trivial, but) nontrivial coefficients rather than lifting properties?

(2) The above reads more like an exposition in inverse Galois theory (perhaps because Question (1) remains unanswered). Is there any actual relation with the Langlands program?

Acknowlegdement. This note was originally written in responce to an invitation of the organizers of the workshop "Geometric methods in the mod p local Langlands correspondence", which took place in Pisa between June 6–10, 2016, to suggest questions and topics for discussion at the workshop. The author is grateful to the organizers for the stimulating invitation to suggest a discussion topic, as well as for the invitation to the workshop.

References

[1] B. B. Lur'e. On universally solvable embedding problems. (Russian) *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **183**, Nauka, Leningrad, p. 121–126, 1990. English translation in: *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* **183**, p. 141–147, 1991.

[2] L. Positselski. Galois cohomology of certain field extensions and the divisible case of Milnor–Kato conjecture. *K-Theory* **36**, #1–2, p. 33–50, 2005. `arXiv:math.KT/0209037`

[3] L. Positselski. Koszul property and Bogomolov's conjecture. *Internat. Math. Research Notices* **2005**, #31, p. 1901–1936. Postpublication arXiv version: `arXiv:1405.0965v2 [math.KT]`

[4] "Profinite groups as absolute Galois groups". MathOverflow question `http://mathoverflow.net/questions/232930/profinite-groups-as-absolute-galois-groups/`

[5] J.-P. Serre. Extensions icosaédriques. Letter to J. D. Gray. *Seminaire de Théorie de Nombres de Bordeaux* **9** (1979–1980), exposé n° 19, p. 1–8.

[6] V. Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/l$-coefficients. *Annals of Math.* **174**, #1, p. 401–438, 2011. `arXiv:0805.4430 [math.AG]`

[7] H. Völklein. Groups as Galois groups: An introduction. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 53, Cambridge University Press, 1996.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel

E-mail address: `posic@mccme.ru`